## ANISOTROPIC H<sup>p</sup>, REAL INTERPOLATION, AND FRACTIONAL RIESZ POTENTIALS

BY

## W. R. MADYCH

ABSTRACT. We observe that the anisotropic variants of  $H^p$  interpolate by the real method in the usual manner. Using this fact we show that the corresponding fractional Riesz potentials and related operators perform an embedding in  $H^p$ , p > 0, analogous to the one for  $L^p$ , p > 1. We also state a theorem concerning the mapping properties of  $f \to h * f$ , where h is in  $B_a^{1,\infty}$ , which hold only for a restricted range of p.

0. Introduction. Let  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  be a continuous group of linear transformations on  $R^n$  with infinitesimal generator  $a, A_t = t^a = \exp(a \log t)$ . A tempered distribution, f, on  $R^n$  is in  $H_a^{p,q}$ ,  $0 , <math>0 < q \le \infty$ , if and only if  $\sup_{t>0} |\phi_t * f(x)|$  is in  $L^{p,q}$ , where  $\phi$  is in S,  $\int \phi \neq 0$ ,  $\phi_t(x) = t^{-\gamma} \phi(A_t^{-1}x)$  and  $\gamma$  is the trace of a.

In the case that a is the identity matrix it is known that

$$\big(H_a^{p_0,q_0},\,H_a^{p_1,q_1}\big)_{\theta,q} = H_a^{p,q},\,\,\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}\,, \qquad 0 < \theta < 1,\, 0 < q \leq \infty,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,q}$  denotes the interpolation space by the Lions-Peetre method.

In this note we observe that this result holds for all a satisfying  $\langle ax, x \rangle > \langle x, x \rangle$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product in  $R^n$ . Using this fact we show that the corresponding fractional Riesz potential and related operators perform an embedding in  $H^p_a$ , p > 0, analogous to the one for  $L^p$ , p > 1. In §3 we state a theorem concerning the mapping properties of the transformation  $f \to k * f$ , where k is in  $B^{1,\infty}_{\alpha}$ , which hold only for a restricted range of p.

Concerning complex interpolation of the  $H_a^p$  spaces, some results have been announced in [1].

I wish to thank Nestor Riviere for suggesting the problem and encouraging me to write it up.

In this paper all functions are complex valued and all integrals are over  $R^n$  unless denoted otherwise. As is customary, the symbol C will be used

Received by the editors March 12, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 26A33, 46E99.

generically to denote constants appearing in certain estimates and need not be the same at different occurrences.

- 1. Preliminaries. Let  $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$  be a continuous group of linear transformations on  $R^n$  with infinitesimal generator  $a, A_t = t^a = \exp(a \log t)$ . We assume that a satisfies  $\langle ax, x \rangle \geqslant \langle x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in R^n$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the usual inner product. If  $x \neq 0$ , let  $\rho(x)$  denote the unique positive number t such that  $|A_t^{-1}x| = 1$ ,  $\rho(0) = 0$ . It follows that the function  $x \to \rho(x)$  is continuous on  $R^n$ , is in  $C^{\infty}(R^n \setminus \{0\})$ , and satisfies
  - (i)  $\rho(x) = 1$  iff |x| = 1.
  - (ii)  $\rho(A,x) = t\rho(x)$ ,
  - (iii)  $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ ,
  - (iv)  $|\{x: \rho(x) \leq r\}| = (\omega_n/n)r^{\gamma}$ ,

where  $\gamma$  is the trace of a,  $\omega_n$  is the area of  $\{x: |x| = 1\}$ , and if A is a measurable set then |A| denotes its volume.  $\rho$  is called the a metric and  $B(x, d) = \{y: \rho(x - y) \le d\}$ . For more details concerning this metric see [2], [7], and [12].

Given a function  $\phi$  on  $R^n$ ,  $\pi_t^a \phi$ ,  $\phi_t$ , and  $\pi_t^{a^*} \phi$  are defined by  $\pi_t^a \phi(x) = \phi(A_t x)$ ,  $\phi_t(x) = t^{-\gamma} \phi(A_t^{-1} x)$ , and  $\pi_t^{a^*} \phi(x) = \phi(A_t^* x)$  where  $a^*$  and  $A_t^*$  are the adjoints of a and  $A_t$ , respectively. For a tempered distribution f,  $\pi_t^{af}$  is defined by  $\langle \pi_t^a f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, t^{-\gamma} \pi_{t-1}^a \phi \rangle$  for  $\phi \in S$ , where  $\langle f, \phi \rangle$  denotes the distribution f acting on  $\phi$ .  $\pi_t^{a^*} f$  is defined analogously. f is said to be homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  with respect to a,  $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ , if  $\pi_t^{af} = t^{\alpha} f$ .

 $D=(D_1,\ldots,D_n)$  is the usual differential operator  $D_i=\partial/\partial x_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . If A is a linear transformation with matrix  $(a_{ij})$  with respect to the usual coordinate system in  $R^n$ , then AD is the differential operator with components  $(AD)_i=\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}D_j, i=1,\ldots,n$ .  $\bigotimes_m AD$  is the tensor of rank m whose components we index by  $v_m=(i_1,\ldots,i_m), i_j=1,\ldots,n$ , and  $(\bigotimes_m AD)_{v_m}=(AD)_{i_1}\ldots(AD)_{i_m}$ . Given a tensor, T, of rank m whose components,  $T_{v_m}$ , are numbers, we define the norm of T, ||T||, by the formula  $||T||=\max_{v_m}|T_{v_m}|$ .

We list several facts which can be easily verified by direct computation.

(1.1) If A and B are linear transformations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then

$$\left(\bigotimes_{m} AD\right)_{\nu_{m}} f(Bx) = \left(\bigotimes_{m} AB^{*}Df\right)_{\nu_{m}} (Bx).$$

- (1.2) If f is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  with respect to a then so is  $(\bigotimes_m A_{\rho(x)}^* D)_{\nu_m} f(x)$ .
  - (1.3)  $(\pi_t^a f)^n = t^{-\gamma} \pi_t^{a^*} \hat{f}$  where ^ denotes the Fourier transform.
- (1.4) If f is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  with respect to a then  $\hat{f}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-\alpha \gamma$  with respect to  $a^*$ . Furthermore, if  $-\gamma < \alpha < 0$  and if  $f \in L^1_{loc} \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is in  $L^1_{loc} \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ .

Given a tempered distribution, f, consider the following:

 $(1.5) f^+(x) = \sup_{t>0} |\phi_t * f(x)|$  and  $f^+ \in L^p$  for some  $\phi \in S$  with  $f \phi = 1$ .

(1.6)  $u_N(x) = \sup_{y,t} |\phi_t * f(y)| (1 + \rho(x - y)/t)^{-N}$  and  $u_N \in L^p$  for some  $\phi \in S$  with  $\phi = 1$ .

 $(1.7) f^*(x) = \sup_{\phi \in A_N} \sup_{|x-y| \le 10t} |\phi_t * f(y)| \text{ and } f^* \in L^p \text{ where}$ 

$$A_N = \left\{ \phi \in \mathbb{S} : \sum_{|\nu| \leq N} \int (1 + \rho(x))^N |D^{\nu}\phi(x)| \, dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

THEOREM 1. If  $N > \gamma/p + 1$ ,  $0 , then (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7) are equivalent. Furthermore, the <math>L^p$  norms of  $f^+$ ,  $u_N$ , and  $f^*$  are equivalent.

In the case that a is the identity, this result is contained in [5]. The equivalence of (1.5) and (1.6) is contained in [2, Theorem 2.4]. The proof that (1.6) implies (1.7) is completely analogous to the case when a is the identity (see [5, p. 185]) and we will not repeat it here. The fact that (1.7) implies (1.5) is obvious.

Given a tempered distribution, f, we say that f is in  $H_a^{p,q}$  if and only if  $f^+$  is in  $L^{p,q}$  and  $||f||_{H_a^{p,q}} = ||f^+||_{L^{p,q}}$  where  $L^{p,q}$  is the usual Lorentz space. (See [11] for a nice exposition of Lorentz spaces.) Note that  $H_a^{p,p} = H_a^p$ .

As pointed out in [5] and apparent in [4],  $f^*$  is useful for obtaining estimates of  $\iint f(x)\phi(x) dx$  for  $\phi \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $f \in H_a^p$ . More precisely,

$$\left|\int f(x)\phi(x)\ dx\right| \leq N(\phi; x_0, d) \min_{\rho(x_0-y)<10d} f^*(y),$$

where

$$N(\phi; x_0, d) = \min\{A > 0: \phi(x) = A\psi_d(x - x_0), \psi \in A_N\}$$

$$\approx \sum_{0 \le m \le N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int \left(1 + \frac{\rho(x - x_0)}{d}\right)^N |(\bigotimes A_d^* D)_{r_m} \phi(x)| dx.$$

The following lemmas are basic in the study of these spaces.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\Omega$  be an open set properly contained in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There is a collection  $\{B(x_i, d_i)\}$  of balls with the following properties:

- (1) They are pairwise disjoint and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B(x_i, 2d_i)$ .
- (2) If  $\Omega^c$  denotes the complement of  $\Omega$ , then  $B(x_i, 10d_i) \cap \Omega^c \neq \emptyset$  for every i.
- (3) Let  $B_i = B(x_i, 3r_i)$ . Then  $B_i \subset \Omega$  for all i; and if  $x \in \Omega$  and  $n_x$  denotes the number of  $B_i$ 's which contain x then  $n_x$  is bounded by a constant,  $C_0$ , which depends only on a.
- (4) Let  $\psi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function with support in  $\{x: \rho(x) \leq 3\}$  and identically one for  $\rho(x) \leq 2$ . If  $\psi_i(x) = \psi(A_{d_i}^{-1}(x-x_i))$ , then  $\chi_{\Omega}(x) \leq \Sigma \psi_i(x) \leq C_0 \chi_{\Omega}(x)$  where  $C_0$  is the constant of (3). Furthermore, if  $\phi_i(x) = \psi_i(x)[\Sigma_j \psi_j(x)]^{-1}$ , then  $\Sigma \phi_i = \chi_{\Omega}$  and  $N(\phi_i, x_i, d_i) \leq C$ , where C depends only on  $\alpha$  and  $\phi$ .

Lemma 1 is a generalization of the Whitney covering lemma and is a consequence of well-known facts concerning Vitali families in [9, Theorem 2.1]. The construction of the desired covering can be found in the proof of Lemma 1 of [7]. Analogous lemmas have been used by several authors (e.g. see [3]).

LEMMA 2. Let  $f \in H^p \cap L^1$  and s > 0 be given. Let  $\Omega = \{x: f^*(x) > s\}$  and let  $\{B_i\}$  be the covering and  $\{\phi_i\}$  the partition of unity of  $\Omega$  as in Lemma 1. Then f may be written as the sum of two functions,  $g_*$  and  $b_*$ , where

- $(1) \|g_s\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant Cs,$
- (2)  $b_s = \sum b_i$  where
- (i)  $b_i(x) = [f(x) P_i(x)]\phi_i(x)$ ,  $P_i$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq N$  and  $||P_i||_{L^{\infty}(B_i)} \leq Cs$ .
  - (ii)  $\int P(x)b_i(x) dx = 0$  for all polynomials of degree  $\leq N$ .
  - (iii)  $||b_i||_{H^p_a}^p \le C \int_{B_i} |f^*(x)|^p dx$  and, hence,  $||b_s||_{H^p_a}^p \le C \int_{\Omega} |f^*(x)|^p dx$ .

This is essentially the main result of [4] in the case that a is the identity. The proof in our case is the same, *mutatis mutandis*.

As in [4], an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 is an interpolation theorem for  $H_a^{p,q}$  spaces by the real method. (See [6] for the basic definitions, properties, and consequences.)

THEOREM 2. For  $0 < p_0 < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and  $0 < q \le \infty$ ,  $(H_a^{p_0}, L^{\infty})_{\theta,q} = H_a^{p,q}$  where  $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0$ .

Using reiteration (see [6]) we get the following

COROLLARY. Suppose  $\theta$ ,  $p_i$ , and  $q_i$  satisfy  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and  $0 < p_i < \infty$ ,  $0 < q_i \le \infty$ , i = 0,1. Then  $(H_a^{p_0,q_0}, H_a^{p_1,q_1})_{\theta,q} = H_a^{p,q}$  where  $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$  and  $0 < q \le \infty$ .

2. Estimates for Riesz potentials of order  $\alpha$ . The Riesz potential operator of order  $\alpha$ ,  $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ , is the transformation  $f \to R_{\alpha} * f$  where  $\hat{R}_{\alpha}(\xi) = \rho_{*}(\xi)^{-\alpha}$  and  $\rho_{*}$  is the  $a^{*}$  metric. From (1.4) it follows that if  $0 < \alpha < \gamma$  then  $R_{\alpha}$  is in  $L^{1}_{loc} \cap C^{\infty}(R^{n} \setminus \{0\})$  and homogeneous of degree  $\alpha - \gamma$  with respect to a. Using (1.2), it follows that  $(\bigotimes_{m} A^{*}_{\rho(x)}D)R_{\alpha}(x)$  enjoys the same properties and, hence,  $\|(\bigotimes_{m} A^{*}_{\rho(x)}D)R_{\alpha}(x)\| \leq C\rho(x)^{\alpha-\gamma}$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , where C is a constant which depends only on m. The above inequality allows us to obtain  $H^{p}$  estimates for  $R_{\alpha} * f$ .

LEMMA 3. Suppose  $K \in L^1_{loc} \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  and for some  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < \gamma$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\left\| \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} DK(x) \right\| \leq C_{m} (\rho(x))^{\alpha - \gamma}$$

for all m, where  $C_m$  depends only on m. If  $\psi \in C^{\infty}$ , supp  $\psi \subset \{x: |x| \le 1\}$ ,  $\{\psi = 1, \text{ and } f \in H_a^p \cap C^{\infty}, 0$ 

(2.2) 
$$\left| \left\{ x : \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |\psi_{\epsilon} * K * f(x)| > t \right\} \right| \leq \left( Ct^{-1} ||f||_{H^{p}_{a}} \right)^{q}$$

for t > 0, where  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/\gamma$  and C is independent of f.

**PROOF.** First observe that if  $2\rho(y) \le \rho(x)$  then

(2.3) 
$$\left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^* D \right) K(x - y) \right\| \le C_m \rho(x)^{\alpha - \gamma}$$

for fixed x or for fixed y. Define  $K_{\varepsilon} = \psi_{\varepsilon} * K$ , then  $K_{\varepsilon}$  enjoys property (2.1), with  $C_m$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

To see this, if  $2\varepsilon < \rho(x)$  write

$$\left\| \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D K_{\varepsilon}(x) \right\| \leq \int_{\rho(y) < \varepsilon} \left| \psi_{\varepsilon}(y) \right| \left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D \right) K(x - y) \right\| dy$$

$$\leq C_{m} \|\psi\|_{L^{1}} \rho(x)^{\alpha - \gamma}.$$

If  $2\varepsilon > \rho(x)$ , write

$$\left\| \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D K_{\varepsilon}(x) \right\| \leq \int_{\rho(y) \leq \varepsilon} |K(y)| \left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D \right) \psi_{\varepsilon}(x-y) \right\| dy.$$

Now,

$$\left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D \right) \psi_{\varepsilon}(x - y) \right\| = \left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)/\varepsilon}^{*} D \psi \right)_{\varepsilon} (x - y) \right\|$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \left( \frac{\rho(x)}{\varepsilon} \right)^{m} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sup_{x} \left\| \bigotimes_{j} D \psi(x) \right\|$$

where C depends only on m and n. Hence

$$\left\| \bigotimes_{m} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} K_{\varepsilon}(x) \right\| \leq C' \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \int_{\rho(y) < \varepsilon} |K(y)| \, dy$$

where C' depends only on m, n, and  $\phi$ . Now  $\int_{\rho(y)<\epsilon} |K(y)| dy \leq C\epsilon^{\alpha}$  and this, together with the previous inequality, implies the desired result.

Suppose that  $||f||_{H^p_a} = 1$  and let  $\Omega = \{x: f^*(x) > s\}$  where  $s = t^{q/p}$ . Note that  $|\Omega| \le C s^{-p} = C t^{-q}$ . Write  $f = g_s + b_s$  as in Lemma 2. Choose  $p_1$  and  $q_1$  so that  $1/p_1 - 1/q_1 = \alpha/\gamma$  and  $1 < p_1 < \infty$  and  $1 < q_1 < \infty$ , and recall that the transformation  $f(x) \to \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |K_{\epsilon} * f(x)|$  maps  $L^{p_1}$  into  $L^{q_1}$ . Write

$$\left|\left\{x: \sup_{\varepsilon>0}\left|K_{\varepsilon}*g_{s}(x)\right|>t\right\}\right|\leqslant C\left(t^{-1}\|g_{s}\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\right)^{q_{1}}.$$

Using the fact that  $\|g_s\|_{L^{p_1}}^{p_1} \le s^{p_1-p} \|g_s\|_{L^p}^p \le s^{p_1-p}$  and the relations between p, q and  $p_1$ ,  $q_1$  and s, t, it follows that

(2.4) 
$$\left|\left\{x: \sup_{\varepsilon>0} \left|K * g_s(x)\right| > t\right\}\right| \leqslant Ct^{-q}.$$

Now write

$$I = \left| \left\{ x \in \Omega^c : \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| K_{\epsilon} * b_{s}(x) \right| > t \right\} \right| \leq t^{-p} \int_{\Omega^c} \left( \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| K_{\epsilon} * b_{s}(x) \right| \right)^{p} dx,$$

where  $\Omega^c$  denotes the complement of  $\Omega$ . Recall that  $b_s = \sum b_i$  as in Lemma 2 and the fact that p < 1, to write

$$I \leq t^{-p} \sum_{\Omega \subset \{x \geq 0\}} \left| K_{\varepsilon} * b_{i}(x) \right|^{p} dx.$$

To obtain an estimate on  $K_{\varepsilon} * b_i(x)$  assume, without loss of generality, that  $x_i = 0$ , take x and  $\varepsilon$  fixed, and write  $K_{\varepsilon}(x - y) = Q(y) + F(x, y)$  where Q(y) is a polynomial of degree less than N and

$$F(x,y) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\tau)^{n-1}}{(N-1)!} \frac{d^N}{d\tau^N} K_{\epsilon}(x-\tau y) d\tau.$$

Now, recall that  $\int Q(y)b_i(y) dy = 0$  and, hence,

$$|K_e * b_i(x)| = \left| \int F(x, y) b_i(y) \, dy \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int F(x, y) \phi_i(y) f(y) \, dy \right| + \left| \int F(x, y) \phi_i(y) P_i(y) \, dy \right|$$

$$= I_1 + I_2.$$

Since  $|P_i(y)| \le Cs$  for  $y \in \text{supp } \phi_i \subset \{y : \rho(y) \le 3d_i\}$ ,

$$I_2 \le Cs \int_{\rho(y) \le 3d_i} |F(x,y)| dy = Csd_i^{\gamma} \int_{\rho(y) \le 3} |F(x,A_{d_i}y)| dy.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{d^N}{d\tau^N} K_{\varepsilon}(x+\tau y) = \sum_{p_N} \left\{ \left( \bigotimes_N A_{\rho(x)}^* D K_{\varepsilon} \right)_{p_N} (x-\tau y) \right\} \left( \bigotimes_N A_{\rho(x)}^{-1} y \right)_{p_N}$$

and, hence, using 2.3, the fact that

$$\sup_{\alpha(y) \le 3} \left\| \left( \bigotimes_{N} A_{\rho(x)}^* DK_{\epsilon} \right) (x - \tau A_{d_{\epsilon}} y) \right\| \le C \rho(x)^{\alpha - \gamma}$$

and

$$\sup_{\rho(y) < 3} \left\| \bigotimes_{N} A_{d_{i/\rho(x)}} y \right\| \le C \left[ \frac{d_i}{\rho(x)} \right]^{N}$$

implies that

$$I_2 \leq Cs \left[ d_i/\rho(x) \right]^{N+\gamma} \rho(x)^{\alpha}$$

Recall that

$$I_1 \leq N(F(x,\cdot)\phi_i, d_i, 0) \min_{\rho(y) \leq 10d_i} f^*(y).$$

To estimate  $N(F(x, \cdot)\phi_i, d_i, 0)$ , using the change of variables  $y \to A_d y$  in its "formula", it follows that it is bounded by

$$Cd_{i}^{\gamma} \left( \max_{0 \leq m \leq N} \sup_{\rho(y) \leq 3} \left\| \left( \bigotimes_{m} D \right) \left( \bigotimes_{N} A_{\rho(x)}^{*} D K_{\epsilon} \right) (x - \tau A_{d_{i}} y) \right\| \right)$$

$$\times \left( \max_{0 \leq m \leq N} \sup_{\rho(y) \leq 3} \left\| \bigotimes_{m} D \bigotimes_{N} A_{d_{i}/\rho(x)} y \right\| \right),$$

where  $0 \le \tau \le 1$ . Since  $\bigotimes_m D = \bigotimes_m A_{\rho(x)}^* - A_{\rho(x)} D$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left( \underset{m}{\otimes} D \right) \left( \underset{N}{\otimes} A_{\rho(x)}^* D K_{\varepsilon} \right) (x - \tau A_{d_i} y) \right\| \\ &= \tau^m \left\| \left( \underset{m}{\otimes} A_{d_i/\rho(x)}^* A_{\rho(x)} D \underset{N}{\otimes} A_{\rho(x)} D K_{\varepsilon} \right) (x - \tau A_{d_i} y) \right\| \\ &\leq C' \tau^m \left\| A_{d_i/\rho(x)} \right\|^m \left\| \left( \underset{N+m}{\otimes} A_{\rho(x)}^* D K_{\varepsilon} \right) (x + \tau A_{d_i} y) \right\| \\ &\leq C \rho(x)^{-\gamma + \alpha} \end{split}$$

for  $\rho(y) \le 3$ , where C depends only on m and n. Clearly

$$\sup_{\rho(y) \leq 3} \left\| \bigotimes_{m} D \bigotimes_{N} A_{d_{i}/\rho(x)} y \right\| \leq C \left( \frac{d_{i}}{\rho(x)} \right)^{N},$$

and since  $\min_{\rho(y) \le 10d} f^*(y) \le s$ , it follows that  $I_1 \le Cs(d_i/\rho(x))^{N+\gamma} \rho(x)^{\alpha}$ .

Since the estimates for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are independent of  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} |K_{\varepsilon} * b_{i}(x)| \leq Csd_{i}^{N+\gamma} \left(\rho(x-x_{i})\right)^{\alpha-N-\gamma}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega^{\epsilon}} \left( \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| K_{\epsilon} * b_{i}(x) \right| \right)^{p} dx \leq \int_{o(x-x_{i}) > 8d_{i}} \cdots dx \leq Cs^{p} d_{i}^{op+\gamma}.$$

Since  $|B_i| = Cd_i^{\gamma}$  and  $s^p = t^q$ , substituting the last inequality into the estimate for I gives us

$$I \leq C t^{q-p} \sum \left|B_i\right|^{1+\alpha p/\gamma} \leq C t^{q-p} \left(\sum \left|B_i\right|\right)^{1+\alpha p/\gamma}.$$

Since  $\sum |B_i| \leq C' |\Omega| \leq Ct^{-q}$ , using the relation between p and q it follows that

$$\left|\left\{x\in\Omega^{c}\colon \sup_{\epsilon>0}\left|K_{\epsilon}*b_{s}\right|>t\right\}\right|\leqslant Ct^{-q}.$$

Inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) imply that

$$\left|\left\{x\colon \sup_{\varepsilon>0}\left|K_{\varepsilon}*f(x)\right|>2t\right\}\right|\leqslant Ct^{-q}$$

where C is a constant independent of f,  $||f||_{H^p_a} = 1$ . Since Lemma 3 follows from the last equality, this completes its proof.

As a consequence of Lemma 3 and Theorem 2 we have

THEOREM 3. Let f be a distribution in  $H_a^p$ , 0 , and let <math>K and  $\psi$  be as in Lemma 3. Then  $K * F = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} K * \psi_{\epsilon} * f$  exists in the sense of distributions, is independent of the choice of  $\psi$ , and is in  $H^q$ ,  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$ . Furthermore, the mapping  $f \to K * f$  is bounded from  $H^p$  into  $H^q$ ,  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/\gamma$ .

In the case a is the identity and  $K(x) = |x|^{\alpha - n}$ , a variant of Theorem 3 seems to be known. See [8, p. 50].

3. Remarks. To avoid introducing more notation, we consider the case where a is the identity matrix. In this case  $H_a^p = H^p$ .

Recall the definitions of space BMO and the homogeneous Besov spaces  $B_{\alpha}^{p,q}$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $1 \le q \le \infty$ , and  $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ . It is well known that the dual of  $H^p$  is  $B_{n(1/p-1)}^{\infty,\infty}$  if 0 and BMO if <math>p = 1. (A nice account of these facts together with the definitions and properties of the Besov spaces may be found in [10].)

Define  $\mathbb{C}^p$  for  $-\infty < 1/p < \infty$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{C}^p = B^{\infty,\infty}_{-n/p} & \text{if } 1/p < 0, \\ = \text{BMO} & \text{if } 1/p = 0 \\ = H^p & \text{if } 1/p > 0. \end{array}$$

Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{S}$  be such that  $\hat{\phi} = 0$  in a neighborhood of the origin and a neighborhood of infinity and satisfies  $\int_0^\infty \hat{\phi}(t\xi) \, dt/t = 1$  for  $\xi \neq 0$ . Define  $\phi_{\epsilon,r}$  by

$$\hat{\phi}_{e,r}(\xi) = \int_{s}^{r} \hat{\phi}(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} ,$$

and note that if  $f \in \mathbb{C}^p$  then  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0; r \to \infty} \phi_{\epsilon,r} * f = f$  in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ .

THEOREM 4. Suppose  $K \in B_{\alpha}^{1,\infty}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and f is a distribution in  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,  $1/p < 1 + \alpha/n$ . Then  $K * f = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0; r \to \infty} K * \varphi_{\epsilon,r} * f$  exists in the sense of distributions, is independent of the choice of  $\varphi$ , and is in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ ,  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/n$ . Furthermore, the mapping  $f \to K * f$  is bounded from  $\mathbb{C}^p$  into  $\mathbb{C}^q$ ,  $1/p - 1/q = \alpha/n$ .

(Note that if K satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3 then K is in  $B_{\alpha}^{1,\infty}$ . Also observe that it follows from the arguments below that analogous results hold for  $K \in B_{\alpha}^{p,\infty}$ , p > 1.)

Theorem 4 is well known in the case that 1/p < 1 (see [10]) and follows by duality for  $1 < 1/p < 1 + \alpha/n$ . In fact, if  $(\mathcal{L}^p, \mathcal{L}^q)$  denotes the class of translation invariant continuous linear operators mapping  $\mathcal{L}^p$  into  $\mathcal{L}^q$  then, with a slight abuse of notation,

$$B_{\alpha}^{1,\infty} \subset (H^p, H^1) \subset (\text{BMO}, B_{\alpha}^{\infty,\infty}) \subset (L^{\infty}, B_{\alpha}^{\infty,\infty}) = B_{\alpha}^{1,\infty},$$
 where  $1/p = 1 + \alpha/n$ .

The reason the duality argument fails in the case that  $1/p > 1 + \alpha/n$  is, of course, the fact that  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , 1/q > 1, is not a Banach space. To see that Theorem 4 cannot be extended to the case  $1/p > 1 + \alpha/n$ , consider the case n = 1,  $1/p > 1 + \alpha$ , and  $1/q = 1/p - \alpha$ . Let f and g be  $C^{\infty}$  functions with support in the interval  $\left[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}\right]$  and define K by the formula  $K(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1/q} g(x + m)$ . Clearly  $K \in B_{\alpha}^{1,\infty}$  and, if sufficiently many moments of f are zero, f is in  $H^p$ . Moreover, if neither f nor g are identically zero,

$$||K * f||_{H^q}^q \ge ||K * f||_{L^q}^q = ||g * f||_{L^q}^q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} = \infty.$$

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. P. Calderon and A. Torchinsky, Interpolation between H<sup>p</sup> spaces, the complex method, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1975), A-166. Abstract #720-42-9.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_, Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution, Advances in Math. 16 (1975), 1-64.
- 3. R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
- 4. C. Fefferman, N. M. Riviere and Y. Sagher, Interpolation between H<sup>p</sup> spaces: the real method, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 191 (1974), 75-81.
- 5. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup> spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-194.
  - 6. T. Holmstedt, Interpolation of quasi-normed spaces, Math. Scand. 26 (1970), 177-199.
- 7. W. R. Madych, On Littlewood-Paley functions, Studia Math. 50 (1974), 43-63. MR 49 #9536.
  - 8. J. Peetre, H<sup>p</sup> spaces, Univ. of Lund, Unpublished lecture notes, corrected ed., June 1975.
  - 9. N. M. Rivière, Singular integrals and multiplier operators, Ark. Mat. 9 (1971), 243-278.
  - 10. \_\_\_\_\_, The Fourier method in approximation theory (unpublished preprint).
- 11. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. MR 46 #4102.
  - 12. A. Torchinsky, Singular integrals in the spaces  $\Lambda(B, X)$ , Studia Math. 47 (1973), 165-190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010